

From: Betsaida Alcantara/DC/USEPA/US
Sent: 3/19/2012 8:33:18 PM

To: "Abrahm Lustgarten" <Abrahm.Lustgarten@propublica.org>
CC: "Roy Seneca" <Seneca.Roy@epamail.epa.gov>
Subject: Re: Can I ask for your response...

+ Roy. Here's the agency's statement on this question below.
EPA's findings are based solely on science and were developed in compliance with the law and any suggestion otherwise is inaccurate.

From: Abrahm Lustgarten [Abrahm.Lustgarten@propublica.org]
Sent: 03/19/2012 07:44 PM AST
To: Betsaida Alcantara
Subject: RE: Can I ask for your response...

OK, I understand. I don't know if we'll wait, but we will update and include as necessary. If there is anything you can answer in piecemeal, like the statement I ask for below, that would be great.

Thank you,
Abrahm

From: Betsaida Alcantara [mailto:Alcantara.Betsaida@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Monday, March 19, 2012 4:42 PM
To: Abrahm Lustgarten
Subject: Re: Can I ask for your response...

Abrahm, we a number of questions that we're trying to answer here. It looks like we'll need til tmr to answer all of them.

From: Abrahm Lustgarten [Abrahm.Lustgarten@propublica.org]
Sent: 03/19/2012 07:27 PM AST
To: Betsaida Alcantara
Subject: Can I ask for your response...

Betsaida,

Several people are suggesting to me that the EPA released incomplete findings on Dimock, and characterized the findings in a way that downplayed the environmental situation, because of pressure from the gas industry and the President's political objectives and statements supporting natural gas.

Could I respectfully ask you for a response to that assertion?

Thank you,
Abrahm Lustgarten